



IN REPLY REFER TO:

United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Lower Colorado Regional Office

P.O. Box 61470

Boulder City, NV 89006-1470



LC-3133
PRJ-8.10

MAY 21 2008

Via Overnight Mail

Mr. Jay Soper
Blastco Incorporated
5514 E. Hampton Drive
Houston TX 77039-6156

Subject: Contractor Performance Report - Contract No. 06-CC-30-8070 – Painting Fixed-Wheel Penstock Gates and Refurbishing Fixed-Wheel Assemblies, Parker Dam and Powerplant, Parker Davis Project, Arizona-California

Dear Mr. Soper:

In accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 42.1500, enclosed is the Contractor Performance Report for the subject contract. Please sign the evaluation report and return it to this office. Any comments, rebutting statements, or additional information must be submitted to the Contracting Office within 30 days after receipt of this report. If a response is not received from you within 30 days, it will be assumed that you concur with the evaluation report and it will be finalized. A copy of the performance evaluation report will be provided to your company as soon as it is finalized.

If you have any questions, please contact Rita Horkan, Contract Specialist, at 702-293-8036.

Sincerely,

Denise L. Colwell
Contracting Officer

Enclosure

National Institutes of Health
Contractor Performance Report
Construction Evaluation

CONTRACT DATA

Host Agency	Report Type: Final Report (100% Complete)	Report Date From September 26, 2006 To October 15, 2007	
Evaluating Organization: BOR	Contracting Office: NV	Contract Number 06-CC-30-8070	
Contractor Name and Address: Blastco Inc. 5514 East Hampton Drive Houston TX 77309		TIN: 980154837	DUNS: 050390199
		SIC/NAICS: 238320	Commodity Code: Z211
		Procurement Method: Sealed Bid	Contract Type: Fixed Price
Amount of Basic Contract: \$678,920.00	Total Amount of Modifications: \$6,748.00	Liquid Damages Assessed: \$0.00	Net Amount Paid Contractor: \$685,668.00
Award Date: September 26, 2006	Original Completion Date: March 7, 2007	Final Completion Date: 10/15/07	Date Work was Accepted: 4/16/07
Description of Requirement: Painting Fixed-Wheel Penstock Gates and Refurbishing Fixed-Wheel Assemblies, Parker Dam and Powerplant, Parker-Davis Project, Arizona - California			

RATINGS:

Quality of Product or Service:

0=Unsatisfactory 1=Poor 2=Fair 3=Good 4=Excellent 5=Outstanding

	N/A	0	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of Workmanship							X
Adequacy of CQC Plan						X	
Implementation of CQC Plan						X	
Quality of QC Documentation						X	
Storage of Materials						X	
Adequacy of Materials							X
Adequacy of Submittals					X		
Adequacy of QC Testing						X	
Adequacy of As-Builts	X						
Use of Specified Materials							X
Identification/Correction of Deficient Work							

Government Comments for Quality of Product or Service:

1) Submittals were acceptable. Some submittals needed revisions and resubmittal. To get full set of submittals and to approve them was a long process

2) Submittal drawings for the fixed-wheel assemblies and the gate seals are the "as-built" drawings. Majority of drawings in the solicitation were furnished as "Information Only" for the Contractor to use in determining surface areas and quantities.

3) Identification/Correction of Deficient Work:

Cost Control

0=Unsatisfactory 1=Poor 2=Fair 3=Good 4=Excellent 5=Outstanding

	N/A	0	1	2	3	4	5
Cost Control							X

Government Comments on Cost Control:

Claims were minimal (less than 1 percent of contract). Contractor passed on direct charges from the supplier for additional work that was required but not shown on the "Information Only" drawings. Contractor could have added mark-ups (overhead and profit) but did not do so.

Timeliness of Performance

0=Unsatisfactory 1=Poor 2=Fair 3=Good 4=Excellent 5=Outstanding

	N/A	0	1	2	3	4	5
Adequacy of Initial Progress Schedule						X	
Adherence to Approved Schedule					X		
Resolution of Delays						X	
Submission of Required Documentation						X	
Completion of Punch List Items						X	
Submission of Updated and Revised Progress Schedules						X	
Warranty Response	X						

Government Comments on Timeliness of Performance:

1) Removal and disposal of the drums of hazardous waste (contaminated sand-blast media) from the site was done correctly and within the allowable time limit. Waste could have been removed prior to the Contractor's demobilization -- not 5 weeks later -- but was removed within the time limits after correcting documentation errors.

2) Per initial proposal, Contractor stated that quantity of waste would be 2 drums or less. Final quantity of drums of waste were significantly higher. Since waste had PCBs, but was below action levels for metal contaminants, it was considered to be TSCA. As a result, waste was within the project's established limits.

Effectiveness of Management/Business Relations

0=Unsatisfactory 1=Poor 2=Fair 3=Good 4=Excellent 5=Outstanding

	N/A	0	1	2	3	4	5
Cooperation and Responsiveness							X
Management of Resources/Personnel						X	
Coordination and Control of Subcontractors	X						
Adequacy of Site Clean-Up						X	
Effectiveness of Job-Site Regulations						X	
Compliance With Laws and Regulations						X	
Professional Conduct							X
Review/Resolution of Subcontractor's Issues	X						
Change Order Activity (By Contractor)							X
Adequacy of Work Force						X	
O & M Manuals/Instructions	X						
Spare Parts Delivery							X

Government Comment on Effectiveness of Management/Business Relations:

It took Contractor quite a long time to get all of the submittals in and approved (or accepted) and to actually start onsite work. Once work began, everything flowed smoothly.

Compliance With Labor Standards

0=Unsatisfactory 1=Poor 2=Fair 3=Good 4=Excellent 5=Outstanding

	N/A	0	1	2	3	4	5
Correction of Noted Deficiencies							X
Payrolls Properly Completed and Submitted							X
Compliance With Labor Laws and Regulations With Specific Attention to the Davis-Bacon Act and EEO Requirements							X

Government Comments on Compliance With Labor Standards:

There were no issues with compliance.

Compliance With Safety Standards

0=Unsatisfactory 1=Poor 2=Fair 3=Good 4=Excellent 5=Outstanding

	N/A	0	1	2	3	4	5
Adequacy of Safety Plan						X	
Implementation of Safety Plan						X	
Correction of Noted Deficiencies						X	

Government Comments on Compliance With Safety Standards:

1) Contractor had a "close call" when the blade of the forklift fell and nearly struck an employee. This resulted in a minor scrape. Situation could have been much worse. This was an isolated incident, but resulted in a change in work and safety procedures.

2) Contractor conducted weekly "tool box" safety meetings and emphasized the importance of containment and use of masks and air filters when dealing with lead, heavy metals, and PCBs.

Overall Rating

0=Unsatisfactory 1=Poor 2=Fair 3=Good 4=Excellent 5=Outstanding

	N/A	0	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Rating						X	

Government Comments on Overall Rating:

Quality of work was excellent.

Subcontracts

Are Subcontracts involved? Only a small portion of the job was subcontracted.

Government Comments on Subcontracts:

Contractor performed majority of work with very little subcontracting. A&E design firm was hired to design support brace and a machine shop (supplier) was hired to produce steel pins, fixed wheels, naval brass bushings, and bronze thrust washers – both performed all their work off-site.

Contractor Key Personnel

Contractor Manager/Principal Investigator: Mr. Jay Soper, VP Blastco, Inc.

Government Comments on Contractor Manager/Principal Investigator:

Mr. Soper did an excellent job of managing this contract from the corporate office in Houston, TX. All issues were easily handled by EMail or telephone.

Contractor Key Person:

Mr. Kevin Field

Government Comments on Contractor Key Person:

Mr. Field did an excellent job. Getting and maintaining a work force capable of performing the work was an additional challenge.

Small Business Subcontracting Plan

Did the contractor make a good faith effort to comply with its subcontracting plan consistent with the goals and objectives, reporting and other aspects of the plan?

If this is a bundled contract, did the contractor meet the goals and objectives for small business participation?

Government Comments on Small Business Subcontracting Plan:

Small Disadvantaged Business Goals

Did the contractor make a good faith effort to comply with its subcontracting plan consistent with the goals and objectives, for small disadvantaged business (SDB) participation, monetary targets for SDB participation, and required notifications?

Government Comments on Meeting SDB Subcontracting Requirements: N/A

Customer Satisfaction

Is /Was the contractor committed to customer satisfaction? Yes

Would you recommend the selection of this firm again? Yes

Government Comments for Customer Satisfaction: Blastco's efforts to accomplish were successful, under sometimes difficult circumstances, evidencing dedication to accomplishing the contract and project.

Project Officer/COTR:

(Signature)

Name: Gary Krzysnik
Phone: 702-293-8087
Fax: 702-293-8087
E-mail: gkrzysnik@lc.usbr.gov

Contractor Representative:

(Signature)

Name: Jay Soper
Phone: 281-590-3200
Fax: 281-590-3234
E-mail: jays@blastech.com

Contracting Officer:

(Signature)

Name: ~~Kenneth A. Miller~~ Denise L. Colwell
Phone: ~~702-293-8460~~ (702) 293-8234
Fax: 702-293-8499
E-mail: ~~kmiller@lc.usbr.gov~~ dcolwell@lc.usbr.gov

Contractor Comments

Contractor's comments for quality of product or service:

Contractor's comments for cost control:

Contractor's comments for timeliness of performance:

Contractor's comments for effectiveness of management/business relations:

Contractor's comments for compliance with labor standards:

Contractor's comments for compliance with safety standards:

Contractor's comments for overall rating:

Contractor's comments for comment on subcontracts:

Contractor's comments for contractor manager/principal investigator:

Contractor's comments for contractor key person:

Contractor's comments for comments on small business subcontracting plan:

Contractor's comments for SDB subcontracting requirements:

Contractor's comments for customer satisfaction:
